

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

KEVIN FERNANDEZ,	)	3:12-cv-00401-LRH-WGC
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	<b><u>MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS</u></b>
	)	
vs.	)	January 16, 2014
	)	
DR. CENTRIC, <i>et al.</i> ,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
_____	)	

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE WILLIAM G. COBB, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DEPUTY CLERK: Katie Lynn Ogden REPORTER: FTR

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S): Kevin Fernandez, In Pro Per (Telephonically)

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): Nathan L. Hastings (Telephonically)

**MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS: Discovery Status Conference**

10:02 a.m. Court convenes.

**I. Preliminary Matters**

**A. Notice to Defendants of DSM and NCI Pages to Photocopy Pursuant to Court's Order (Doc. # 249)**

Plaintiff represents he is satisfied with the documents produced by defendants concerning photocopies from the DSM and NCI. Therefore, to the extent Doc. # 249 seeks any action by the court or defendants, this matter has been resolved and no further action is necessary.

**B. Motion for Leave to File Supplemental to 248 Memorandum in Response to 246 Order (Doc. # 250)**

Plaintiff indicates the document produced concerning a "medical personnel roster"<sup>1</sup> is illegible. After brief discussion regarding the document at issue, the court finds good cause for defendants to produced a legible document. Therefore, Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Supplemental to 248 Memorandum in Response to 246 Order (Doc. # 250) is **GRANTED**.

---

<sup>1</sup>Plaintiff clarifies that the document is a "work schedule."

## MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS

3:12-cv-00401-LRH-WGC

Date: January 16, 2014

Page 2

---

### II. Plaintiff's Motion to Compel (Doc. # 160)

Plaintiff has submitted a Memorandum (Doc. #248) which clarifies what discovery disputes remain at issue that were originally identified in plaintiff's Motion to Compel (Doc. # 160). The memorandum consists of fifteen (15) different sets of discovery requests that are at issue. The court has also reviewed and considered several documents relating to outstanding discovery disputes including: defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Production of Documents and Things # 159 (Doc. # 192), plaintiff's Motion to Compel Supplemental Interrogatory Responses (Doc. # 226), plaintiff's Memorandum Correlating the Subjects of Discovery Disputes to Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Discovery Responses # 160 (Doc. # 235), and defendants' Response to Plaintiff's Memorandum Correlating Discovery Disputes # 235 (Doc. # 241).

After discussion is had with regard to the discovery disputes, Plaintiff's Motion to Compel (Doc. # 160) is deemed **GRANTED in part** and **DENIED in part** as follows:

(1) **Defendant Cox's Response to Plaintiff's Interrogatories [Set One]**  
**(Doc. # 192-6):**

Interrogatory No. 4 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 5 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 6 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 8 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**.

(2) **Defendant Cox's Response to Plaintiff's Interrogatories [Set Two]**  
**(Doc. # 192-6):**

Interrogatory No. 1 - **Granted**, Defendant's objection is **overruled**; defendant is directed to supplement his response;

Interrogatory No. 2 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**.

(3) **Defendant Gedney's Response to Plaintiff's Interrogatories [Set One]**  
**(Doc. # 192-6):**

Interrogatory No. 1 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

**MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS**

3:12-cv-00401-LRH-WGC

Date: January 16, 2014

Page 3

---

Interrogatory No. 3 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 4 - **Granted**, Defendant's objection is **overruled**; defendant is directed to supplement her response, specifically as to subcategories (b), (c), and (d);

Interrogatory No. 5 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 6 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 7 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**.

**(4) Defendant Palmer's Response to Interrogatories [Set One] (Doc. # 192-6):**

Interrogatory No. 4 - **Granted**, Defendant's objection is **overruled**; defendant is directed to supplement his response;

Interrogatory No. 6 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 7 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 8 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 10 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**.

**(5) Defendant Scott's Response to Plaintiff's Interrogatories [Set One] (Doc. # 192-6):**

Interrogatory No. 3 - **Granted**, Defendant's objection is **overruled**; defendant is directed to supplement his response, specifically as to subcategories (b), (c), and (d);

Interrogatory No. 5 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 6 - **Granted**, Defendant's objection is **overruled**; defendant is directed to supplement his response;

Interrogatory No. 7 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 8 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

**MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS**

3:12-cv-00401-LRH-WGC

Date: January 16, 2014

Page 4

---

Interrogatory No. 9 - **Granted**, Defendant's objection is **overruled**; defendant is directed to supplement his response;

Interrogatory No. 10 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**.

**(6) Defendant Scott's Response to Plaintiff's Interrogatories [Set Two]  
(Doc. # 192-6):**

Interrogatory No. 1 - **Granted**, Defendant's objection is **overruled**; defendant is directed to supplement his response;

Interrogatory No. 3 - **Granted**, Defendant's objection is **overruled**; defendant is directed to supplement his response;

Interrogatory No. 4 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**.

**(7) Defendant Scott's Response to Plaintiff's Interrogatories [Set Three]  
(Doc. # 192-6):**

Interrogatory No. 4 - **Granted**, Defendant's objection is **overruled**; defendant is directed to supplement his response.

**(8) Defendant Frtiz's Response to Plaintiff's Interrogatories [Set Two]  
(Doc. # 192-6):**

Interrogatory No. 1 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 3 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**.

**(9) Defendant Schober's Response to Plaintiff's Interrogatories [Set One]  
(Doc. # 192-6):**

Interrogatory No. 1 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 2 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 3 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 4 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

**MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS**

3:12-cv-00401-LRH-WGC

Date: January 16, 2014

Page 5

---

Interrogatory No. 6 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 8 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 10 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**.

**(10) Defendant Walsh's Response to Plaintiff's Interrogatories [Set One]**  
**(Doc. # 241-1):**

Interrogatory No. 1 - **Granted**, Defendant's objection is **overruled**; defendant is directed to supplement her response;

Interrogatory No. 2 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**, supplemental response as to Interrogatory No. 1 should supply a sufficient response to this interrogatory;

Interrogatory No. 4 - **Granted**, Defendant's objection is **overruled**; defendant is directed to supplement her response;

Interrogatory No. 6 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 7 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 8 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 9 - **Granted**, Defendant's objection is **overruled**; defendant is directed to supplement her response;

Interrogatory No. 10 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 11 - **Granted**, Defendant's objection is **overruled**; defendant is directed to supplement her response;

Interrogatory No. 13 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**.

**(11) Defendant Walsh's Response to Plaintiff's Interrogatories [Set Two]**  
**(Doc. # 241-1):**

Interrogatory No. 1 - **Granted**, Defendant's objection is **overruled**; defendant is directed to supplement her response;

**MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS**

3:12-cv-00401-LRH-WGC

Date: January 16, 2014

Page 6

---

Interrogatory No. 4 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**.

**(12) Defendant Fritz's Response to Plaintiff's Interrogatories [Set One]**  
**(Doc. # 241-1):**

Interrogatory No. 1 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 4 - **Granted**, Defendant's objection is **overruled**; defendant is directed to supplement her response.

**(13) Defendant Centric's Response to Plaintiff's Interrogatories [Set One]**  
**(Doc. # 247-1):**

Interrogatory No. 1 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 3 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 4 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 5 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 6 - **Granted**, Defendant's objection is **overruled**; defendant is directed to supplement his response;

Interrogatory No. 7 - **Granted**, Defendant's objection is **overruled**; defendant is directed to supplement his response;

Interrogatory No. 8 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 9 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 10 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**.

**(14) Defendant Centric's Response to Plaintiff's Interrogatories [Set Two]**  
**(Doc. # 247-2):**

Interrogatory No. 4 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 5 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**.

By: /s/  
Katie Lynn Ogden, Deputy Clerk